

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/753,089	01/07/2004	Caidian Luo	129843.1080 5413		
60148	7590 10/25/2006		EXAMINER		
GARDERE / JAMES HARDIE			MARCANTONI, PAUL D		
GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL, LLP 1601 ELM STREET SUITE 3000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755		
DALLAS, T	X 75201		DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appea	al Brief				

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/753,089	LUO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Paul Marcantoni	1755		

	Paul Marcantoni	1/55	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F ).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejecti	The appropriate extension of the stand office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, market	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially r		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	<u>will not be entered</u> , or b)    ∪ v   vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-11 and 18-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>			ance because:
<ul><li>12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>10/11/</u>	06 Pow M.L	
		Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1755	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons above and the reasons set forth in the final rejection.